Northern District of California United States District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA J. AUGUSTO BASTIDAS, Case No. <u>13-cv-04388-SI</u> **JURY VERDICT FORM** GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL LP and GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL Defendants.

Plaintiff,

v.

MEDICAL STAFF,

PART I -- LIABILITY

Re: Implementation of Proctoring Program

Question No. 1:

Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
defendant Good Samaritan Hospital ("Hospital") subjected him to an adverse employment action
by delaying implementation of his proctoring program?

Yes _____ No ____

If your answer to Question No. 1 was "No," please go to Question No. 3; otherwise, please answer the next question.

Question No. 2:

Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that this adverse employment action (delaying implementation of proctoring) was taken by Hospital because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights lawsuit?

Yes _____ No ____

Please answer the next question.

Question No. 3:

Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant Good Samaritan Hospital Medical Staff ("Medical Staff") subjected him to an adverse employment action by delaying implementation of his proctoring program?

Yes _____ No ____

If your answer to Question No. 3 was "No," please go to Question No. 5; otherwise, please answer the next question.

1	Question No. 4:
2	Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
3	this adverse employment action (delaying implementation of proctoring) was taken by Medical
4	Staff because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights
5	lawsuit?
6	Yes No
7	Please answer the next question.
8	
9	Re: Update to Report on Dr. Bastidas in the National Practitioner Databank Report
10	Question No. 5:
11	Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
12	defendant Good Samaritan Hospital ("Hospital") subjected him to an adverse employment action
13	by delaying the filing of an update to the plaintiff's National Practitioner Databank Report?
14	Yes No
15	If your answer to Question No. 5 was "No," please go to Question No. 7; otherwise, please answer
16	the next question.
17	
18	Question No. 6:
19	Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
20	this adverse employment action (delaying update to National Practitioner Databank Report) was
21	taken by Hospital because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing
22	a civil rights lawsuit?
23	Yes No
24	Please answer the next question.
25	
26	
27	

United States District Court Northern District of California

Question No. 7:

defendant Good Samaritan Hospital Medical Staff ("Medical Staff") subjected him to an adverse employment action by delaying the filing of an update to the plaintiff's National Practitioner Databank Report? No _____ If your answer to Question No. 7 was "No," please go to part II. Otherwise, please answer the next question. **Question No. 8:** Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that this adverse employment action (delaying update to National Practitioner Databank Report) was taken by Medical Staff because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights lawsuit? Yes _____ No ____ Please go to Part II.

Did plaintiff, J. Augusto Bastidas, MD, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that

PART II -- DAMAGES

If your answer to each of Questions No. 2, 4, 6 and 8 was "No" or blank, do not answer any further questions; go to the end of this form and have your Foreperson date and sign the form, and return it. Otherwise, please answer the next question.

Question No. 9:

As to the adverse employment action(s) which you have found were taken because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights lawsuit, did plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that such adverse employment action caused any damage to plaintiff?

Yes _____ No ____

If your answer to Question No. 9 was "No," please go to Question No. 11. Otherwise, please answer the next question

Question No. 10:

What is the amount of damage plaintiff has proved?

\$

Please go to Question No. 12.

Question No. 11:

As to the adverse employment action(s) which you have found were taken because Dr. Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights lawsuit, if you have found that plaintiff failed to prove any damages you must award nominal damages, which may not exceed one dollar (\$1.00). Do you award nominal damages?

If so, in the amount of _____

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	
2	Question No. 12:
3	As to the adverse employment action(s) which you have found were taken because Dr.
4	Bastidas engaged in the protected activity of bringing and pursuing a civil rights lawsuit, did
5	plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that either defendant engaged in conduct
6	which was malicious, oppressive or in reckless disregard of plaintiff's rights?
7	As to Good Samaritan Hospital? Yes No
8	As to Good Samaritan Hospital Medical Staff? Yes No
9	
10	If you answered "Yes" to either part of Question No. 12, please answer the next question.
11	Otherwise, do not answer any further questions; go to the end of this form and have your
12	Foreperson date and sign the form.
13	
14	Question No. 13:
15	What is the amount of punitive damages which you award?
16	\$
17	
18	Dated:
19	FOREPERSON
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	